

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/663,995	09/17/2003	Soon Jo Lee	9988.059.00-US	1979
30827	7590 04/07/2006	EXAMINER		
	LONG & ALDRIDG	GRAVINI, STEPHEN MICHAEL		
1900 K STRE	DN, DC 20006		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3749	
			DATE MAILED: 04/07/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|--|

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/663,995	LEE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Stephen Gravini	3749	

before the rilling of all Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Stephen Gravini	3749				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 28 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.					
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date						
nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	inally set in the final Offi	ce action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since			
AMENDMENTS						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	•	TE below);				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1						
4. $\square$ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	· · · ———					
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to</li> </ol>	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	·	, ,,	•			
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)				
13.						
		Stephen y	rovin			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amended claims change the scope and breadth of the invention such that the Office necessitates a new search and/or further consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The request relies amended features such that the invention is not better condition for allowance or appeal because those features change the breadth and scope of the claimed invention under rejection. Furthermore applicants argue patentability of an amended claim that has changed the rejected claim, such that prosecution would have to be re-opened to consider patentability. The prior Office action is considered proper and prosecution on the merits is closed.